Exhibit 29

International Court of Justice Filed in the Registry on: 29 JAN, 2004 /8.(1)

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A WALL IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN **TERRITORY**

(REQUEST FOR AN ADVISORY OPINION)

WRITTEN STATEMENT

SUBMITTED BY

PALESTINE

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A WALL IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY

(REQUEST FOR AN ADVISORY OPINION)

WRITTEN STATEMENT

SUBMITTED BY

PALESTINE

30 JANUARY 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
PART .	A.	INTRODUCTION AND COMPETENCE	
СНАР	TER 1.	INTRODUCTION	1
(2)	SCOPE O	rms of the Request of the Present Request ology ure of this Written Statement	
СНАР:	ΓER 2.	THE COURT IS COMPETENT TO GIVE THE ADVISORY OPINION REQUESTED, AND THERE ARE NO COMPELLING REASONS PREVENTING THE COURT FROM GIVING ITS OPINION	11
(a (t (a	i) Juris b) The l c) The l d) Juris	URT IS COMPETENT TO GIVE THE REQUESTED ADVISORY OPINION diction ratione personae: the request was made by a duly authorized organ Resolution was validly adopted from the procedural point of view Resolution adopting the request was intra vires the Assembly diction ratione materiae: the Court is asked to give an opinion on a legal question ARE NO COMPELLING REASONS PREVENTING THE COURT FROM GIVING THE REQUES	
	a) The gand of the last of the	RY OPINION question put to the Court is both urgent and relevant, and is likely to have a pra contemporary effect Eastern Carelia Case is distinguishable from the present case giving of an advisory opinion does not depend on the consent of any particular St p of States, and no State can prevent the giving of an opinion mere fact that the question may have been politically motivated cannot preve t from rendering its advisory opinion	tate or
(3)	Concli	JSION	
PART	В.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	35
СНАР	ΓER 3.	A CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAIN EVENTS CONCERNING PALESTIN	≀E
(1)	Тне Те	RRITORY OF PALESTINE	
(2)	Jerusa	LEM	
(3)	THE PA	lestinian People	
(4)	THE PA	LESTINIAN REPRESENTATIVE ENTITY	
(5)	Тне Ра	LESTINIAN AUTHORITY	
СНАР	ΓER 4. Ι	SRAEL'S ATTEMPTS TO CHANGE THE LEGAL STATUS OF THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY, INCLUDING EAST JERUSA	
(4	a) Phas	MENT POLICY AND PRACTICE: AN OVERVIEW ses of settlement activities stods of appropriating land for Israeli settlements	

(c) Prone	rty Demolition and Levelling of Land
(b) Walle	
(a) Physic	cal Structure and Characteristics of the Wall
(3) THE REG	IME OF THE WALL AND ACCOMPANYING MEASURES AND EFFECTS
	lation of the Wall Route to the Green Line
	ary: The Wall Depicted by Reference to the Green Line
(c) Projec (d) East J	cted Phase III of the Wall Terusalem
	II of the Wall
(a) Phase	I of the Wall
(2) THE ROU	TE OF THE WALL: EXISTING, DECIDED AND PROJECTED PHASES
(1) Introdu	
CHAPTER 6.	THE WALL BEING BUILT BY ISRAEL IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY, INCLUDING IN AND AROUND EAST JERUSALEM
PART C.	THE WALL
(4) Conclus	SIONS
(3) THE CUR	RENT SECURITY SITUATION
(2) Israeli F	POLICIES AND PRACTICES
(I) INTRODU	
	THE POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF ISRAEL, THE OCCUPYING POWER, AND THE SECURITY SITUATION IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY
(8) Conclus	SIONS
	nent Construction
	Demolitions Fransportation Networks
(a) Movin	LEGAL MEASURES RELATED TO OCCUPIED EAST JERUSALEM g the Border around East Jerusalem
	ation and de jure Annexation of Palestinian Jerusalemites
` '	TION AND THE REGIME IN EAST JERUSALEM
(5) Internat	TIONAL REACTION TO ISRAELI SETTLEMENTS POLICY AND PRACTICE
(4) Extendi	NG TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION
	L INCENTIVES FOR SETTLING IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY
	nic and Development Effects ions for Violence
TERRITOR	xy
(2) Effects (OF THE PRESENCE OF SETTLEMENTS AND SETTLERS IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN

(e) (f)	De Facto Annexation and Confiscation of Land Displacement and Other Demographic Effects
• ,	
	ORRELATION OF THE ROUTE OF THE WALL TO SETTLEMENTS, ROADS AND WATER RESOURCES
	Relationship to Settlements and Roads
(0)	Relationship of the Wall to Water Resources
	HE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF THE WALL
	Social Effects of the Wall
	Economic Effects of the Wall
	Health Effects of the Wall
	Education Effects of the Wall
(e)	Effects of the Wall on the Cultural Heritage
(6) C	Conclusions
PART D.	LEGAL ANALYSIS
СНАРТІ	ER 7. ISRAEL IS IN OCCUPATION OF PALESTINIAN TERRITORY
(1) I	NTRODUCTION
. ,	THE REGIME OF OCCUPIED TERRITORY
	In general
(b)	Application of the regime of occupation to Palestine
(3) 7	THE REQUEST DOES NOT REQUIRE THE COURT TO DETERMINE THE BOUNDARIES OF PALESTINE
(a)	
(b)	Recognition of the Division of Mandated Palestine: Agreements between Israel and
	Palestine
	Recognition of the Division of Mandatory Palestine: The Position of the United Nations
(d)	International recognition that the Palestinian territory is occupied within the meaning of
	the Fourth Geneva Convention
(4)	Conclusion
CHAPTI	ER 8. ISRAEL IS BOUND BY INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW AND
	INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW IN RESPECT OF ITS CONDUCT IN OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY180
(1) I	NTRODUCTION
	NTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW
	Basic principles of international humanitarian law in the Hague and Geneva Conventions
(b)	Israel's obligation to comply with international humanitarian law in the Occupied Palestinian Territory
	A Magazinian Contractly
(3) I	nternational Human Rigiits Law
(4)	Conclusion

CHAPTER 9	ISRAEL'S VIOLATIONS OF THE APPLICABLE LAW THROUGH THE
	CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE REGIME OF THE WALL
	194

- (1) THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW
- (2) There is no lawful basis for the building of the Wall
 - (a) The Wall lacks any justification as a security measure
 - (b) The Wall may not be diverted to protect Israeli settlements in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem,
 - (c) The Wall may not be diverted to protect annexed territory in East Jerusalem
 - (d) There is no justification for the construction of the Wall in the eastern part of the West Bank
 - (e) The Wall is an attempt to change the status of the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem
 - (f) Conclusion on Israel's right to construct the Wall
- (3) THE EFFECTS OF THE WALL VIOLATE INTERNATIONAL LAW AND RENDER IT A DISPROPORTIONATE MEASURE
 - (a) The Wall violates the right to freedom of movement
 - (b) The Wall violates the right to earn a livelihood
 - (c) The Wall violates fundamental rights to welfare
 - (d) The Wall violates the right to family life
 - (e) The Wall is a form of collective punishment
 - (f) The Wall violates property rights of Palestinians
 - (g) The Wall violates the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination
- (4) THE WALL IS NOT JUSTIFIED BY SELF-DEFENCE
- (5) CONCLUDING REMARKS

- (1) THE RIGHT OF THE PALESTINIAN PEOPLE TO SELF-DETERMINATION AS RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY AND THE UNITED NATIONS
- (2) THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE WALL GRAVELY INFRINGES THE RIGHT OF THE PALESTINIAN PEOPLE TO SELF-DETERMINATION
 - (a) The Wall severs the territorial sphere over which the Palestinian People are entitled to exercise their right of self-determination and constitutes a violation of the legal principle prohibiting the acquisition of territory by the use of force
 - (b) The route of the Wall is designed to change the demographic composition of the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem, by reinforcing the Israeli settlements and by facilitating their extension - in disregard of the fact that these settlements are illegal according to international law
 - (c) By the creation of Palestinian enclaves, discrimination against and humiliation of the Palestinian population, and the creation of unbearable economic conditions, the Wall is having the clear and foreseeable effect of leading to the forced displacement of the Palestinian population into increasingly limited areas regarded as safe and livable for Palestinians. The Wall is part of a policy of reducing and parcelling out the territorial sphere over which the Palestinian people are entitled to exercise their right of selfdetermination, establishing non-contiguous Palestinian areas similar to Bantustans.
 - (d) The Wall violates the right of the Palestinian people to permanent sovereignty over their natural resources in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem, and destroys the economic and social basis of the life of the Palestinian people
 - (e) The Wall endangers the feasibility of a viable State of Palestine and consequently undermines the future of negotiations based on the 'two State' principle

СНАР	TER 11. LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF ISRAEL'S BREACHES269
0	LEGAL CONSEQUENCES FOR ISRAEL a) Continued duty to perform the obligation breached b) Cessation of the wrongful act
	c) Reparation
(2)	CONSEQUENCES OF A PENAL CHARACTER
(3)	Legal Consequences for States other than Israel
(4)	GENERAL CONCLUSION
СНАР	TER 12. CONCLUSIONS
APPE	NDICES (The appendices follow the Written Statement and are separately paginated)
1.	TABLES OF UN SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS RESPECTING PALESTINE
2.	CLOSED ZONE PERMIT SYSTEM
3.	SUMMARY OF RECENT ISRAELI ACTIVITY IN CONSTRUCTION OF THE WALL
ANNE	EXES
volu	ME 1: MAPS AND PHOTOGRAPHS
1.	Cross Section of the Wall Complex
2.	Photographs Section
3.	Map 1: The Wall in Occupied Palestinian Territory, Including East Jerusalem
4.	Map 2: Historical Division of Palestine
5.	Map 3: The Wall in the West Bank
6.	Map 4: The Wall in East Jerusalem
7.	Map 5: The Wall and Closure in the West Bank
8.	Map 6: Israeli Settlement Plans in Occupied Palestinian Territory, Including East Jerusalem
9.	Map 7: Israeli and Palestinian-Controlled Areas in the West Bank, March 2000
10	Map 8: The Wall and Israeli Settlement Expansion in the West Bank
11	. Map 9: The Wall and Israeli Settler Population in the West Bank
12	Map 10: The Wall and Water Resources in the West Bank

- 13. Map 11: The Wall and West Bank Topography
- 14. Map 12a: The Wall in the West Bank - Section a: South of Qalqilya Area
- 15. Map 12b; The Wall in the West Bank - Section b: Qalqilya Area
- 16. Map 12c: The Wall in the West Bank - Section c: South of Tulkarm Area
- 17. Map 12d: The Wall in the West Bank - Section d: Tulkarm Area
- 18. Map 12e; The Wall in the West Bank - Section e: North of Tulkarm Area
- 19. Map 12f: The Wall in the West Bank - Section f: Qaffin Area
- 20. Map 12g: The Wall in the West Bank - Section g: Umm ar-Rihan Area
- 21. Map 12h: The Wall in the West Bank - Section h: Zububa Area
- 22. Map 12i: The Wall in the West Bank - Section i: East of Zububa Area
- 23. Map 12j: The Wall in the West Bank - Section j: Faqqu'a Area
- 24. Map 12k: The Wall in the West Bank - Section k: Jalbun Area
- 25. Map 13: The Wall and the Closed Zone
- 26. Briefing Map-Occupied Palestinian Territory, Including East Jerusalem: The Wall in the West Bank

VOLUME 2: DOCUMENTARY ANNEXES

- 1) Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on the Annex 1 situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, 4 October 2001, A/56/440
- 2) Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights, Mr. John Annex 2 Dugard, on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, 6 March 2002, E/CN.4/2002/32
- 3) Annex 3 Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, 29 August 2002, A/57/366
- 4) Annex 4 Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, Addendum, 16 September 2002, A/57/366/Add.1
- 5) Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on the Annex 5 situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, submitted in accordance with Commission resolutions 193/2A and 2002/8, 17 December 2002, E/CN.4/2003/30

- 6) Annex 6 Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, submitted in accordance with Commission resolution 193/2A, 8 September 2003, E/CN.4/2004/6
- Annex 7 Human Rights Committee, Concluding Observations of the Human Rights Committee: Israel, 18 August 1998, CCPR/C/79/Add.93
- Annex 8 Human Rights Committee, Concluding Observations of the Human Rights Committee: Israel, 21 August 2003, CCPR/CO/78/ISR
- 9) Annex 9 Commission on Human Rights, Question of the Violation of Human Rights in the Occupied Arab Territories, including Palestine, Report of the High Commissioner on her visit to the occupied Palestinian territories, Israel, Egypt and Jordan (8-16 November 2000), E/CN.4/2001/114, 29 November 2000
- 10) Annex 10 Commission on Human Rights, Question of the Violation of Human Rights in the Occupied Arab Territories, including Palestine, Report of the human rights inquiry commission established pursuant to Commission resolution S-5/1 of 19 October 2000, E/CN.4/2001/121, 16 March 2001
- 11) Annex 11 International Committee of the Red Cross, Statement to the Conference of High Contracting Parties to the Fourth Geneva Convention, Geneva, 5 December 2001, International Review of the Red Cross, vol. 84, No. 847, September 2002, pp. 692-695
- 12) Annex 12 B'Tselem (The Israeli Information Centre for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories), Land Grab. Israel's Settlement Policy in the West Bank, May 2002
- 13) Annex 13 B'Tselem (The Israeli Information Centre for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories), Behind the Barrier: Human Rights Violations as a result of Israel's Separation Barrier, April 2003, www.btselem.org/Download/2003_Behind_The_Barrier_Eng.doc
- 14) Annex 14 Ms. Catherine Bertini, Personal Humanitarian Envoy of the Secretary-General, Mission Report, 11-19 August 2002, http://domino.un.org/bertini_rpt.htm

* * *

PART A. INTRODUCTION AND COMPETENCE

Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION

1. This Written Statement is filed in accordance with the Order of the Court dated 19 December 2003 in response to the United Nations General Assembly's request for an advisory opinion on the legal consequences of the construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory. This introductory chapter examines the terms of the Request, discusses its scope and outlines the structure of this Written Statement.

(1) The Terms of the Request

2. The request was made by the United Nations General Assembly in Resolution A/ES-10/14 of 8 December 2003. In that resolution, the General Assembly decided, pursuant to Article 96, paragraph 1, of the United Nations Charter, to request the International Court of Justice to give an urgent advisory opinion on the following question:

"What are the legal consequences arising from the construction of the wall being built by Israel, the occupying Power, in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including in and around East Jerusalem, as described in the report of the Secretary-General, considering the rules and principles of international law, including the Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949, and relevant Security Council and General Assembly resolutions?"

3. The Request was transmitted to the Court by the United Nations Secretary-General in a letter dated 8 December 2003.

Minister, letters of Mutual Recognition by the PLO and Israel.⁷⁰ The Declaration of Principles on Interim Self-Government Agreements of 13 September 1993,⁷¹ Agreement on the Gaza Strip and the Jericho Area of 4 May 1994,⁷² Agreement on Preparatory Transfer of Powers and Responsibilities of 29 August 1994,⁷³ Protocol on Further Transfer of Powers and Responsibilities of 27 August 1995,⁷⁴ the Israeli – Palestinian Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip of 28 September 1995,⁷⁵ Protocol Concerning the Redeployment in Hebron of 17 January 1997,⁷⁶ Sharm El-Sheikh Memorandum of 4 September 1999,⁷⁷ Protocol Concerning Safe Passage between the West Bank and the Gaza Strip of 5 October 1999⁷⁸, and subsequent arrangements, were all signed and executed by the State of Israel and the PLO.

(5) The Palestinian Authority

118. Following the signing of the Declaration of Principles, the Palestine Central Council, acting on behalf of the PNC, convened in Tunis on 10-11 October 1993. In that meeting, the Council endorsed the Oslo Agreement and resolved to establish the Palestinian Authority ('PA'), nominated Yasser Arafat to be the president of the PA and authorized him to select its members. The PA was made accountable to the PLO Executive Committee.

⁷⁰ The Palestine YBIL., Vol. 7 (1992/1994), p. 230.

⁷¹ *Ibid.*, p. 232.

⁷² *Ibid.*, p. 243.

⁷³ The Palestine YB1L., Vol. 8 (1994/1995), p. 315.

⁷⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 341.

⁷⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 353.

The Palestine YBIL., Vol. 9 (1996/1997), p. 437.

⁷⁷ The Palestine YBIL., Vol. 11 (2000/2001), p. 339.

119. The PA was structured like any council of ministers, with each member to carry one portfolio. The Palestinian security forces that were established were kept under the command of Mr. Arafat. The PA remains responsible for the negotiations with the State of Israel, but its ultimate authority is the PLO.

OPT elected, Mr. Yasser Arafat as the president, and elected their first legislative body, the Palestinian Legislative Council (the 'PLC'). Palestinians living in East Jerusalem participated in these elections and elected seven members to the Council. The PLC consists of 88 representatives. This body is still functioning in the Occupied Palestinian Territory despite the expiration of its term on 4 May 1999. Under the prevailing coercive situation in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, further elections have not yet been possible.

⁷⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 343.

This arrangement was expressed in article IV of the Interim Agreement of 1995.

Ibid., Article II (3).